

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,047	07/11/2001	Yuri V. Melnik	23600-7006	9410
75	90 12/05/2002			
David G. Beck			EXAMINER	
Bingham McCutchen LLP 3 Embarcadero Center			HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA	
Suite 1800 San Francisco, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765 DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)			
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/903,047	MELNIK ET AL.			
*Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N	lovember 2002 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9,20,21,26 and 27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-19; 22-25 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		red by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	miner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	d				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provi 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
ttachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/903,047 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

1. This application discloses and claims only subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09/900,833, filed 07/06/2001, and names an inventor or inventors named in the prior application. Accordingly, this application may constitute a continuation or division. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of the filing date of the prior application, attention is directed to 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78.

Oath/Declaration

A new oath/declaration is being required to state the following:

The present application is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application Serial No.

09/900,833, filed July 6, 2001, for "Method For Fabricating Bulk GaN Single Crystals" and as such claims benefit of the earlier filing date.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states, "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefore..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 2-9, 20-21 and 26-217 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-5, 10, 13, 17, 21, 23, 25-26 and 29 of copending Application No. 09/901,926. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 09/903,047

Art Unit: 1765

distinct from each other because a similar method of growing an AlGaN single crystal boule.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim 28 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 10-19 and 22-25 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (703) 308-2545. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3